



Students should generate criteria and constraints for engineering design problems—not just be provided with them

What Is The Issue?

A Framework for K-12 Science Education suggests that students at all grade levels should be identifying engineering design problems and developing criteria and constraints. However, in practice, students often receive pre-written criteria and constraints, or begin design challenges without specified criteria or constraints. This tool provides guidance for teachers as they support students to move from a broadly stated design challenge to identifying robust criteria and constraints and developing a detailed understanding of the design problem they are solving.

WHY IT MATTERS TO YOU

- ✎ **Teachers** should open up the process of defining engineering problems, criteria, and constraints to students. This is a crucial aspect of design thinking.
- ✎ **District Staff & PD Providers** should seek out and/or create engineering design challenges that allow students to explore and identify the criteria and constraints themselves.
- ✎ **School Leaders** should provide time for teachers to plan interdisciplinary projects that ask students to authentically engage in engineering design challenges.

Things To Consider

When students define [criteria](#) and [constraints](#), they must be engaged in clearly understanding problems, stakeholders, and desired outcomes.

Robust criteria and constraints ensure students can: **(a)** evaluate solutions based on what worked, including what was socially, ethically, and technically acceptable, **(b)** identify which solutions still need improvements, and **(c)** reveal specific ways to improve those solutions.

Recommended Actions You Can Take

Before starting a design challenge, anticipate student questions and responses about the problem, stakeholders, criteria, and constraints.

The steps below provide guidance to support students as they [actively define engineering problems and identify criteria and constraints](#).

Although the process presented below is teacher-led, eventually students could lead the process in small groups or on their own.

- 1. Provide students with a broadly stated design challenge.** When selecting design challenges, strongly consider topics that students have some background knowledge or experience with. Here are a couple of examples: **(a)** [engineering hats](#) and **(b)** [designing habitats](#).
- 2. Guide students as they think deeply about the design challenge** using prompts like: **(a)** Why is this a situation people want to change or a problem people want to solve? **(b)** Who wants to change this situation or solve this problem? What do we know about them and their needs and desires? **(c)** Who will be impacted by how the situation or problem is resolved? How will they be impacted? **(d)** Is there more than one problem that comes out of this situation? If so, are some problems more important than others? **(e)** Whose interests are being served in the design work and how the challenge is framed?
- 3. Guide students as they consider the criteria for success**, using prompts like: **(a)** How will we know if we have solved the problem? **(b)** Should we try to solve all parts of the problem? Is there a minimum standard to claim success? **(c)** What will we be able to test or measure? How will we test and measure it? **(d)** Do different people/groups/clients have different criteria for success? Are some voices more central than others? **(e)** Are some criteria *needs* while others are just *wants*? **(f)** What trade-offs might be necessary to meet competing criteria and stay within the constraints?
- 4. Guide students as they consider the limitations or constraints.** Teachers will initially need to supply details about constraints, but students should be supported to identify them, and eventually, determine reasonable constraints, such as: **(a)** time frame, **(b)** material (type, quantity), **(c)** budget, **(d)** sustainability, **(e)** safety / risk mitigation, and **(f)** ethical commitments and moral priorities.

REFLECTION QUESTION

- What broadly-stated engineering design challenges relate to the science concepts & phenomena I am teaching?
- What is the least amount of guidance and info I can give students where they still achieve success in the design challenge?
- How can I anticipate students' questions and ideas about problems, criteria, and constraints while remaining open to unexpected ideas?

- When using an engineering challenge, like those available at teachengineering.org, consider removing the provided criteria and constraints.
- As you present the design challenge, consider displaying available materials and tools for both building and testing the designs, as these may help spur student ideas and questions.

Attending to Equity

- [Engineering design is never agnostic to context](#) and the scales of justice. Support students to analyze moral dimensions of their design work and identify knowledge beyond science that is needed.
- [Students bring unique perspectives on problems and solutions based on their lived experiences and cultures](#). Allow students a central role in identifying problems, criteria, and constraints to help ensure that their perspectives are included in the engineering task.

ALSO SEE STEM TEACHING TOOLS:

- #2 [Contemporary Science](#)
- #39 [Everyday Engineering](#)
- #63 [Engineering Argumentation](#)

